	Project Name: All Change at Bank								PM's overall risk rating:			£ 95,000		unmi	Average itigated risk	6.7				Open Ri		sks 14	
	Unique project identifier:		11401				Total estimated cost (exec risk):		£ 5,600,000			£ -		Average mitigated risk score		2.4				Closed Risk		S 0	
	eral risk class Gateway		Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classificatio n pre- mitigation	Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation	Risk score	on (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Classification post-	i Classificat	impact post- mitigation (£)	Post- Mitiga tion risk score		Use of CRP	Ownership Date raised	& Action Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to	Comment(s)
21	4		Inaccurate or Incomplete project estimates, including baxters/ inflationary issues leads to budget increases	If an estimate is found at a later date to be inaccurate or incomplete, more funding and/or time resource would be needed to rectify the issue or fund/ underwrite the shortfall. More specifically, inflationary amounts predetermined earlier in a project may be found to be insufficient and require extra funding to cover any shortfall.	Unlikely	Serious	4	£2,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Undertake regular cost reviews via the highways team.	£0.0)O Rare	Minor	£1,000.00	1	£0.03	Costs for highways team to review estimates.	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben Bishop		
2	4	Inerchin	TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a project.	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with TfL buses didn't go as planned.	Unlikely	Serious	4	£4,500.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Ensure early engagement with TfL buses in the design phases so they can consult internally * Design the measures to help minimise impacts on the bus network	£0.0	00 Unlikely	Minor	£3,000.00	2	£0.00	Costs to cover TfL staff time and/or costs of their consultants	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Neil West		
3	4		LUL engagement and their requirements on a project.	Further time and therefore resource may be required to satisfy LUL that the design is fit for purpose.	Unlikely	Minor	2	£3,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	A – Very Confident	* Ensure early engagement with LUL in the design phase to ascertain their requirements for working near their infrastructure.		00 Rare	Minor	£2,000.00	1	£0.00	Costs to cover LUL staff time and/or costs of their consultants	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Neil West		
4	4		Issue(s) with external engagement and buy-in	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with local external stakeholders didn't go as planned.	Possible	Serious	6	£2,500.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	A – Very Confident	Due to the pandemic over the past six months it hasn't been possible to undertake as much stakeholder engagement at Bank as originally anticipated. Stakeholders may object to the proposed measures and further engagement or atterations may be required.		10 Rare	Minor	£1,000.00	1	£0.00	Costs to cover staff time	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard		
j	4		Funding constraint/ conditions implications	Further resources may be required to identify additional funding or make alternative arrangements if constraints/conditions that came with existing funding we're originally unforeseen, unappreciated or have subsequently changed.	Possible	Major	12	£2,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Track and locate other possible additional funding streams * In co-operation with City Highways staff, strive to make efficiency savings where possible during detailed design phase.	£0.0	10 Possible	Serious	£1,000.00	6	£0.00	Costs to cover staff fime	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard		
	4	(6) Objectives	Accessibility and/ or security concerns lead to project change	Further changes to the project's design and scope may be required if accessibility/ security concerns are raised.	Possible	Serious	6	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* On-going dialogue with the accessibility/ security workstreams	£0.0	00 Rare	Minor	£2,000.00	1	£0.00	Costs to cover staff and/ or consultants time	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Neil West		
	4		Unforeseen technical and/ or engineering issues identified	Identification of any engineering or technical issues that disrupt delivery could result in further costs whether they be time, funding or resources.	Possible	Serious	6	£35,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Work closely with the highways team to help identify any unforeseen technical or engineering issues at an early stage.	£0.0	0 Unlikely	Minor	£25,000.00	2	£0.00	Costs to cover staff and/ or consultants time	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben Bishop/ Neil West		
	4	Performance	TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a project.	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work	Possible r	Serious	6	£4,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* The project is looking to maintain access for buses through the junction where possible. Regular engagement via the Tfl. Network Performance team will enable required discussions to take place as required.		0 Unlikely	Minor	£3,000.00	2	£0.00	Costs to cover TfL staff fime and/or costs of their consultants	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Neil West		
>	4	(10) Physical	Trial holes/ utility investigations lead to further information being required and an increase and time.	Delays could oocur which result in unplanned costs if utility companies don't engage as expected or utility surveys are required.	Possible	Serious	6	£2,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Liaise closely with design engineers to work out an approach to cover utility delays or site discoveries. Ifrial holes to be undertsken once security measures have been developed further.	£0.0	0 Rare	Minor	£1,000.00	1	£0.00	Costs to cover highways team	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben/ Bishop/ Nei West		
10	4	(3) Reputation	Expectation of the look and feel of the scheme is higher than what can be achieved with the budget available.	It is possible that we lose support for the proposed changes whilst still having a need to make functional change to support the growth in pedestrian numbers.	Likely	Serious	8	£2,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Liaise closely with design engineers to maximise public realm opportunites that can be included, subject to site and budget constraints.	£0.0	00 Rare	Minor	£1,000.00	1	£0.00	Costs to cover highways team	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben/ Bishop/ Nei West		

City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

RII	4	(1) Service Delivery, Performance	Additional investigations or surveys may be required by internal/ external parties to further validate the design.	Delays could occur to the programme if validation of the design is delayed.	Possible	Serious	6	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Liaiase with internal/ external parties at an early stage to agree the scope of any additional investigations/ surveys.	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£15,000.	00 1	£0.03	Costs to cover staff time and/ or consultants time	14/09/2010	Leah Coburn	Neil West
R12	4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	We may need to cover more of the costs for TfL/consultants fees for the Eastern Cluster project.	Delays could occur to the programme if funding isn't avaialble to cover costs associated with the Eastern Cluster project.	Possible	Serious	6	£40,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Ongoing dialouge with Eastern Cluster Team to understand budget constraints.	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£30,000.	00 1	£0.0	Costs to cover TfL staff time and/or costs of their consultants		Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West
R13	4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Some of the temporary schemes implemented as part of the City Transportation's and TfL's response to COVID-19 may be made permanent and could impact on the proposals at Bank Junction.	Making some of the temporary measures permanent could impact on the viability of proceeding with the project.	Possible	Serious	6	£15,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Ongoing monitoring and further sensitivity testing will be undertoken to help identify which temporary schemes could be made permanent.	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£10,000.	00 1	£0.0	Costs to cover staff time and/ or consultants time	14/09/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West
R14	5	(1) Compliance/Requiatory	legal challenge regarding the decsion to proceed with an agreed scheme	significant staff cost and legal fees in defending any legal challenge as well as no longer able to meet the project timeframe	likley	Major	16	£100,000.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	ensure a transparent considered scheme, linked to policy andthat all pocesses are followed accordingly	£0.00 Possible	Major	£100,000.	12	£0.0		01/02/2021	Leah Coburn	GillianHoward